Compiling Parametric Data Flow for Dedicated SoCs Journées compilation

<u>Mickaël Dardaillon</u> Kevin Marquet Tanguy Risset Jérôme Martin Henri-Pierre Charles

05/12/13



Compiling PDF/SoCs

Context : Telecom



4G LTE-Advanced

- Up to 1 Gbps
- Control/Data multiplexing

Context : Telecom





4G LTE-Advanced

- Up to 1 Gbps
- Control/Data multiplexing

4G phone

- Computing power > 40 GOPS
- Latency < 2 ms</p>
- Consumption < 500 mW

Platform : Magali, CEA LETI (2009)

- LTE-Advanced demonstrator
- Distributed memory
- Heterogeneous

- Network on Chip (NoC)
- Consumption $\approx 231 \text{mW}$
- Distributed assembly code



Context

OFDM example



Output Data Source

Input OFDM

```
config_id 0config_id 0channel 1channel 1num_icc 0num_occ 2sel_credit 0sel_credit 0path_to_target 2 SOUTH NORTHpath_to_target 2 NORTH SOUTHpacket_size 8credit_size 8total_data_nb 7168total_credit_nb 1024
```

Mickaël Dardaillon (CITI/CEA)

Outline

1 Context

2 Framework

- Model of computation
- Language
- 3 Compilation flow
 - Graph instantiation
 - Link
 - Mapping

4 Results

Outline

1 Context

2 Framework

- Model of computation
- Language

3 Compilation flow

- Graph instantiation
- Link
- Mapping

4 Results

LTE application



Requirements

- data driven
- reconfigurable

Data flow





Data flow



Model of computation

Data flow



Quasi-static schedules A (A; push p)B $(pop p; (B^p; push q)^2)$ C $(pop p; C^2)$ D $(pop p; (pop q; D)^2)$

SPDF : Schedulable Parametric Data Flow, Inria (2012)

- Analyzable
- Quasi-static scheduling

A language for SPDF?

High-level modeling e.g. Simulink, LabView C inspired e.g. Σ C, Streamlt

A language for SPDF?

High-level modeling e.g. Simulink, LabView C inspired e.g. ΣC, StreamIt

C++ and API

e.g. SystemC Same language for :

- Actor computation
- Graph construction

Based on existing tools

Actor example

```
class FFT : public Actor {
   Portln<int> in;
   PortOut<int> out;
   ParamIn size;
   FFT(): in(size), out(size) {}
   void compute();
};
```

Actor example

```
class FFT : public Actor {
   Portln<int> in;
   PortOut<int> out;
   ParamIn size;
   FFT(): in(size), out(size) {}
   void compute();
};
```

```
void FFT::compute() {
    [...]
    val1 = in.pop();
    [...]
    out.push(val2);
}
```

Graph example



Outline

1 Context

2 Framework

- Model of computation
- Language

3 Compilation flow

- Graph instantiation
- Link
- Mapping

4 Results

Compilation : what's needed?

Host



Target

Constraints

- C++ graph construction
- Use existing Front-End
- LLVM bytecode
- platform independent DSP API



Compilation flow



Ascii file representation

Binary memory representation

Compilation flow



Compilation flow



Link

Compute method

void FFT::compute() { [...] val1 = in.pop(); [...] out.push(val2); }



Mickaël Dardaillon (CITI/CEA)

Link

Compute method

```
void MIMO::compute() {
   [...]
   for(i=0; i<nb_ant; i++) {
     val[i] = in[tab[i]].pop();
   [...]
}</pre>
```



Link



IR LLVM

define void @_ZN4MIMO7computeEv(%class.MIMO* %this)
 %1 = gep %class.MIMO* %this, i32 0, i32 1
 %2 = gep %class.MIMO* %this, i32 0, i32 2
 %3 = call i32 @_ZN6PortInl3popE(%class.PortIn* %1)

Link

Compute method

```
void MIMO::compute() {
   [...]
   for(i=0; i<nb_ant; i++) {
     val[i] = in[tab[i]].pop();
     [...]
}</pre>
```



Port address computation

From PinaVM, Verimag (2010)

inlining

slicing

Compilation flow



Compilation flow



Mapping



Fusion

- inter-actor optimization
- n actors = 1 core

Mickaël Dardaillon (CITI/CEA)

- solved on SDF (StreamIt)
- extended to SPDF

Compiling PDF/SoCs

05/12/13 18 / 24

Mapping

Compilation flow



Outline

1 Context

2 Framework

- Model of computation
- Language
- 3 Compilation flow
 - Graph instantiation
 - Link
 - Mapping

4 Results

Platform : Magali, CEA LETI (2009)

- \checkmark Core configuration
- ✓ Communications

- Distributed controllers
- ✓ Central controller



Applications



Compiling PDF/SoCs

Results

Results

Application	Hand-written code	PWF code
	#lines (C - ASM) / time	#lines / time
FFT	150 - 200 / 1 week	60 / 1 h
demodulation	300 - 600 / 1 month	160 / 4 h
parametric demod.	500 - 800 / 3 months	260 I / 8 h

Results

Application	Hand-written code	PWF code
	#lines (C - ASM) / time	#lines / time
FFT	150 - 200 / 1 week	60 / 1 h
demodulation	300 - 600 / 1 month	160 / 4 h
parametric demod.	500 - 800 / 3 months	260 l / 8 h

Application	Hand-written	Generated	RVM
FFT	149 μ <i>s</i>	168 μs (+13%)	500 µs (+236%)
demodulation	180 <i>µs</i>	283 µs (+57%)	-
parametric demod.	288 µ <i>s</i>	558 µs (+94%)	-

Conclusion

Compilation flow

- C++ and API
- Parametric Data Flow
- Graph instantiation/Link
- Actor Fusion

Magali platform

- Core configuration
- Communications
- Distributed controllers
- Central controller

Future works

- Central controller optimization
- New platforms support
- Scheduling

Language : Actor

```
class actSource : public Actor {
  public:
    PortOut<int> lout;
    Paramln size;
    void compute() {
      int* data = readFile("ofdm demod ant1", size.
         get());
      lout.push(data, size.get());
    }
    actSource()
      : Actor("actSource", gen 00w), size("size"),
         lout("out", size) {}
};
```

Language : Graph

```
int main (void) {
    actSize size;
    actCtrl ctrl;
    actSource src;
    actFFT fft;
    actSink snk;
```

```
ctrl.lin <= size.lout;
fft.lin <= src.lout;
snk.lin <= fft.lout;</pre>
```

```
src.size <= ctrl.param*SIZE_FFT;
fft.size <= SIZE_FFT;
snk.size <= SIZE_FFT;</pre>
```